



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/613,556 | 07/02/2003 | Kouji Chikaishi | 03387/LH | 6655 | | |
| 1933 75 | 590 08/11/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE | | | PETRAVICK, I | PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C | | |
| 25TH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 10017-2023 | | 3671 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 1 | |
|--|--|--|---------------|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/613,556 | CHIKAISHI ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | -) |
| | Meredith C Petravick | 3671 | ノ <u>ー</u> |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _· | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 40 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) | ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b | y the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | n-(d) or (f) | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | priority disdoi oo o.o.o. g 110(d | (4) 5. (1). | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | |
| application from the International Bureau | , , , , | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | atent Application (FTO-192) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/613,556 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of many instances of grammatical errors throughout the specification; for example, page 2, line 1, "from the operator's viewpoint seeking for comfortable operation."

Applicant should carefully review the entire specification and correct all grammatical errors. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

All of the claims include limitations to "a downsizing scheme for downsizing a lateral section of the seat on the upper swing body." It is unclear whether applicant is trying to claim a small seat or an idea for making a small seat. Applicant's specification describes changing the hydraulic tank of the vehicle in order to make the seat smaller. It is unclear how this relates to the limitations above. Since this limitation is so unclear, the claims cannot be further treated on the merits.

Further, many of the limitations in the claims have antecedent basis problems, for example, "a seat" in claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,556

Art Unit: 3671

Claim 5 states, "the work implement being common to the two models." However, claim

Page 3

5 depends from claim 1, which does not include limitations to the two models. This also applies

to claims 6-8.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Meredith Petravick whose telephone number is 703-305-0047.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113. The fax

number for this Group is 703-872-9306.

Meredith Petravick Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3671

August 6, 2004